# THE GENERA OF ANACARDIACEAE IN THE SOUTHEASTERN UNITED STATES <sup>1</sup>

GEORGE K. BRIZICKY

ANACARDIACEAE Lindley, Intr. Nat. Syst. 127. 1830. nom. cons. (Cashew Family)

Trees or shrubs, rarely subshrubs or vines, with resin ducts in bark and often in leaves, flowers, and fruits. Leaves alternate [very rarely opposite or verticillate], simple, 3-foliolate, or pinnate, deciduous or persistent, exstipulate. Flowers small, usually regular, hypogynous [rarely perigynous or epigynous], usually unisexual by abortion or both uni- and bisexual [rarely bisexual throughout], in axillary and/or terminal thyrses or panicles, more rarely in solitary or panicled spikelike racemes; bracts deciduous or persistent, bractlets 2, deciduous or persistent, or wanting. Perianth double [rarely simple or absent], usually 5-merous. Sepals usually connate at least at base, imbricate [or valvate]. Petals usually distinct, imbricate [or valvate]. Stamens 5-10 [or very rarely more], sometimes only 1 or 2 fertile in 3 flowers, distinct [or basally connate], reduced and sterile [or wanting] in 9 flowers; anthers versatile, 2-locular at anthesis, introrse, longitudinally dehiscent. Intrastaminal (or rarely extrastaminal) nectariferous disc usually 5- or 10-lobed [sometimes produced into a gynophore, rarely absent]. Gynoecium syncarpous [or apocarpous], 3[-5]-carpellate or 1-carpellate by reduction, rudimentary [or absent] in  $\delta$  flowers; stigmas 1-3[-5]; styles 1-3[-5]; ovary 1[3-5]-locular; ovules anatropous, apotropous, solitary in a locule, the funicle usually elongate with a basal, parietal, or apical insertion. Fruit usually drupaceous, with ± resinous and sometimes waxy or oily mesocarp and crustaceous or bony endocarp (stone). Seeds with scanty endosperm or endospermless; embryo ± curved [or straight]. (Terebinthaceae Juss., 1789; Spondiaceae Kunth, 1824.) Type genus: Anacardium L.

The author is indebted to Dr. Carroll E. Wood, Jr., for his criticism and valuable suggestions, and to Mrs. Gordon W. Dillon, for her careful help in the preparation of the manuscript.

¹ Prepared for a generic flora of the southeastern United States, a joint project of the Arnold Arboretum and the Gray Herbarium of Harvard University, made possible through the support of George R. Cooley and the National Science Foundation and under the direction of Reed C. Rollins and Carroll E. Wood, Jr. This treatment follows the pattern established in the first paper in the series (Jour. Arnold Arb. 39: 296–346. 1958) and continued through those in volumes 40–43 (1959–1962). It should be repeated that the area covered by this work is bounded by and includes North Carolina, Tennessee, Arkansas, and Louisiana. The descriptions are based primarily on the plants of this area, with any supplementary material in brackets. References which the author has not seen are marked by an asterisk.

A family of about 70 genera with nearly 600 species, primarily pantropical, extending with several genera into the temperate zones of both hemispheres. Of the five tribes, only Rhoëae (Rhoideae) and Anacardieae (Mangifereae Marchand emend. Engler) are represented in our area, the former by three indigenous genera and a naturalized species of *Schinus*, the latter by a species of *Mangifera* naturalized in southernmost Florida. Since generic lines in the Rhoëae are often weak and differently interpreted, various authors have recognized one to five indigenous genera in our area.

The family, with a few exceptions (e.g., *Pistacia* L.), is considered to be entomophilous. Since the species are mostly dioecious or polygamo-dioecious, cross-pollination should be the rule. Apomixis (adventitious polyembryony) has been found in some species of *Mangifera*, parthenocarpy in several genera. Cytological data presently known for about 25 species in ten genera (2n = 24, 28, 30, 32, 40, 42, 48, 60) suggest polyploidy. Resin of many species is poisonous on contact.

The family is believed to be closely allied with Sapindaceae and Julianaceae. Wood-anatomical and palynological evidence seems to support the assumption of a close relationship with Burseraceae, from which Anacardiaceae differ essentially in the uniovulate ovary locules and in apotropy of the ovules.

Anacardiaceae are economically important for edible fruits (e.g., Mangifera indica, mango; Spondias spp., mombin) or nuts (Anacardium occidentale L., cashew; Pistacia vera L., pistachio), for resins (e.g., Pistacia Lentiscus L., mastic) and lacquers (Rhus verniciflua), for tannins (Cotinus Coggygria, Rhus spp., Schinopsis spp.), and for timbers (Schinopsis spp., red quebracho; Astronium spp., zebrawood or kingwood). Some species are ornamentals.

## REFERENCES:

Barkley, F. A. A monographic study of *Rhus* and its immediate allies in North and Central America, including the West Indies. Ann. Missouri Bot. Gard. 24: 265-498. pls. 10-26. 1937. [Includes Cotinus, Metopium, Rhus, Toxicodendron, Malosma, and Actinocheita.]

———. A key to the genera of the Anacardiaceae. Am. Midl. Nat. 28: 465–474. 1942. [Bibliographical footnotes; *Searsia* Barkley and *Duckera* Barkley segregated from *Rhus*.]

——. Generic key to the sumac family (Anacardiaceae). Lloydia 20: 255–265. 1957. [Includes introductory notes on economic uses.]

Blank, H. Dermatitis from Anacardiaceae. Proc. Fla. Mango Forum 17: 24-26. 1957.\*

Engler, A. Über die morphologischen Verhältnisse und die geographische Verbreitung der Gattung *Rhus*, wie der mit ihr verwandten, lebenden und ausgestrobenen Anacardiaceae. Bot. Jahrb. 1: 365–420. 1881.

———. Burseraceae and Anacardiaceae. *In:* CANDOLLE, A. & C. DE. Monogr. Phaner. 4: 1–500, 536–573. *pls. 1–15*. 1883. [Anacardiaceae, 171–500, 537, 538, *pls. 4–15*.]

Anacardiaceae. Nat. Pflanzenfam. III. 5: 138-178. 1892.

Hеімsch, С., Jr. Wood anatomy and pollen morphology of Rhus and allied

genera. Jour. Arnold Arb. 21: 279-291. pls. 1-3. 1940. [Includes Actino-cheita, Malosma, Metopium, Cotinus, Rhus, and Toxicodendron.]

Comparative anatomy of the secondary xylem in the "Gruinales" and "Terebinthales," of Wettstein with reference to taxonomic grouping. Lilloa 8: 83-198. pls. 1-17. 1942. [Anacardiaceae, 136-144, pls. 9-12.]

KRYN, J. M. The anatomy of the wood of the Anacardiaceae and its bearing on the phylogeny and relationships of the family. Diss. Abs. 13: 290. 1953.\*

Martin, A. C. The comparative internal morphology of seeds. Am. Midl. Nat. 36: 513-660. 1946. [Anacardiaceae 628, 629.]

Merrill, E. D. Dermatitis caused by various representatives of the Anacardiaceae. Jour. Am. Med. Assoc. 124: 222-224. 1944.

RECORD, S. J. American woods of the family Anacardiaceae. Trop. Woods 60: 11-45. 1939.

SARGENT, C. S. Manual of the trees of North America (exclusive of Mexico). ed. 2. 910 pp. Boston & New York. 1922. [Anacardiaceae, 655-665.]

SWEET, H. R., & F. A. BARKLEY. A most useful plant family, the Anacardiaceae. Missouri Bot. Gard. Bull. 24: 216-229. 1936.

VENNING, F. D. The ontogeny of the laticiferous canals in the Anacardiaceae. Am. Jour. Bot. 35: 637-644. 1948.

WEST, E., & L. E. Arnold. The native trees of Florida. 212 pp. Gainesville. 1946. [Anacardiaceae, 112-114.]

## KEY TO THE GENERA OF ANACARDIACEAE

General characters: woody plants with resin ducts in bark, leaves, flowers, and fruit; flowers small, usually hypogynous, unisexual or uni- and bisexual, in axillary and/or terminal thyrses or panicles; perianth double, usually 5-merous; stamens 5-10; nectariferous disc intra- or rarely extrastaminal; gynoecium 1-3 [-5]-carpellate, ovary usually 1-locular by abortion; fruit drupaceous.

- A. Flowers usually unisexual; petals not ridged; disc intrastaminal; stamens 5 or 10, all fertile in 3, all reduced and sterile in 2 flowers; gynoecium 3-carpellate; drupes small, not exceeding 15 mm. in length, with meager drying flesh; leaves various.

  - B. Stamens 5; drupes not aromatic, without oil cavities; leaves various, if pinnate, rachises not winged, except in *Rhus copallina*.
    - C. Stigma 1, 3-lobed; style 1, very short, stout; drupes 10-15 mm. long, ellipsoid to obovoid, scarlet to orange, glabrous; endocarp thin, crustaceous; leaves odd-pinnate, leaflets long petioluled; poisonous trees or shrubs of subtropical Florida. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 3. Metopium.
    - C. Stigmas and styles 3; drupes 3-8 mm. long, subglobular or subreniform (covered with glandular club-shaped hairs if red or orange); endocarp thick, bony; leaves various (if pinnate, leaflets sessile or short petioluled).

D. Styles appearing sublateral in flowers; drupes obliquely subreni-

- form, usually much compressed laterally, prominently reticulate, glabrous, the remnants of styles lateral; mesocarp very scanty; pedicels of aborted flowers becoming plumose-villous; leaves simple; innocuous trees or shrubs. . . . . . . . . . . . 4. Cotinus.
- D. Styles terminal; drupes subglobular, usually slightly compressed laterally, not reticulate, sometimes with simple and/or glandular hairs; mesocarp thickish, resinous and sometimes also waxy; pedicels never plumose; leaves 3-foliolate or odd-pinnate; trees, shrubs, or vines, some poisonous. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 5. Rhus.

#### Tribe ANACARDIEAE

1. Mangifera Linnaeus, Sp. Pl. 1: 200. 1753; Gen. Pl. ed. 5. 93. 1754.

Mostly large evergreen trees, the leaves alternate [rarely verticillate], simple, entire, membranaceous to coriaceous, petiolate, persistent. Plants polygamous, usually andromonoecious. Flowers small, & and bisexual, in terminal [and/or axillary] thyrses; pedicels articulated; bracts and bractlets deciduous. Sepals 5 (4 or 6), deciduous. Petals 5 (4 or 6), distinct [or adnate to the disc at base], the veins thickened into [1-]3-5 prominent ridges, deciduous. Disc extrastaminal, tumid for minute, sometimes stipelike, or wanting], 5-lobed. Stamens usually 5 [rarely 10-12], inserted just within [or on] the disc, distinct [or connate at base], usually 1 or 2 [rarely 5 or 6] fertile, the rest remaining sterile with imperfect small anthers [or reduced to toothlike projections]; pollen 3-colpate, small to medium sized, ellipsoidal, finely reticulate. Gynoecium 1-carpellate (exceptionally 2-carpellate, apo- or syncarpous), rudimentary or wanting in & flowers; stigma simple; style sublateral; ovary obliquely subglobular, 1locular, sessile; ovule solitary, funicle subbasal. Fruit a large [or relatively small drupe of various shapes and colors; exocarp ± leathery; mesocarp thick, fleshy, sweet [to acid], sometimes resinous; stone compressed, usually hard, woody, coated with fibers. Seed large, compressed; testa papery; endosperm lacking (or scanty?); embryo subreniform with plano-convex, often unequal and lobed cotyledons and small ascendent radicle; germination hypogeous. Type species: M. indica L. (From Portuguese, mango, the common name for this fruit, and Latin -fera, bearing, i.e., "bearing mangoes.")

An Indo-Malayan genus of about 40 species.  $Mangifera\ indica$  (including  $M.\ laurina\ Bl.$ ), common mango, 2n=40, presumably native to India (and perhaps to Indochina and the Sunda Islands), widely cultivated throughout the tropics for its edible fruits, has become naturalized in hammocks of southern Florida and the Florida Keys. A tree with relatively large, narrow leaves and large to very large, ovate to subreniform or subcylindrical, yellowish or greenish fruits sometimes flushed with scarlet or crimson, the species has been in cultivation in the tropics of the Old World for over four thousand years and shows a wide range of variation, about a thousand wild forms and cultivars occurring in India.

Mangifera indica is the only economically important species. Its fruits, mangoes, are said to be eaten by at least one-fifth of the world's population. In India the astringent flowers, seeds, and bark find medicinal application, especially in diarrhoea. Bark and leaves yield a yellow dye used locally in southern Asia. The wood, said to be of excellent quality, is used extensively in India for carpentry, cabinet-work, boat-building, etc.

Short-tongued insects, especially Diptera, Hymenoptera, and Coleoptera, seem to be the principal pollinators of Mangifera indica. Cross-pollination seems to be the rule. Since self-pollination has not been recorded, one may assume that the morphologically bisexual flowers are (at least in most cases) functionally (physiologically) carpellate. The somatic chromosome number 2n=40 has been recorded for 23 grafted varieties and one wild race of Mangifera indica, as well as for M. sylvatica Roxb., M. caloneura Kurz, M. caesia Jack, and M. foetida Lour. Although no polyploid series has been found in the genus, Mukherjee (1950) suggested on the basis of his cytological analysis that M. indica and its allies are allopolyploids. No records of natural or artificial interspecific hybrids have been available, but Mukherjee (1957) remarked that "similarity in the chromosome number and morphology and in the pollen size and morphology . . indicates that there may be close compatibility among the species during hybridization and in stock-scion relationship in grafting . . ."

Apomixis (adventitious polyembryony) has been recorded in *Mangifera indica* and in *M. odorata* Griff., the embryos originating either from the nucellus or by budding from the cotyledons and hypocotyl. While Indian "seedling races" and "horticultural [grafted] varieties" are almost exclusively monoembryonic, the "seedling races" common in culture in some other countries ("Philippine" or "Manila" races) mostly are polyembryonic. There are, however, records indicating that some "Indian races" considered monoembryonic in India yielded a considerable percentage of polyembryonic seeds when grown in the Philippines.

Although *Mangifera indica* is usually innocuous and dermatitis caused by its resin is infrequent, several wild species (e.g., *M. caesia*, *M. foetida*, *M. odorata*, and *M. lagenifera* Griff.) sometimes cultivated in the Malaysian region for their edible fruits are regarded as positively poisonous.

The genus seems to be related to the Malayan Bouea Meisn, and to the tropical American Anacardium L.

## REFERENCES:

The vast number of references has been reduced here primarily to those of general interest. Under family references see Engler (1883, pp. 195–215 & 1892, pp. 146, 147), Heimsch (1942, pp. 136, 137), and Merrill.

Arnot, C. H. Notes on polyembryony and multiple shoots from the seed in Mangifera indica. Am. Jour. Bot. 22: 26-28. pls. 1, 2. 1935.

Barnes, H. V. The mango; a list of references. U. S. Dep. Agr. Libr. List 29. 62 pp. 1946.\*

Choudhury, K. R., & S. K. Mukherjee. Floral biology in mango. Proc. Indian Sci. Congr. Assoc. 42: 370, 371. 1955.\*

Hammerstein, C. P. Mango dermatitis experiences. Proc. Fla. Mango Forum 19: 14-16. 1959.\* [Control of allergy to mangoes.]

Juliano, J. B. Origin of embryos in the strawberry mango. Philip. Jour. Sci. 54: 553-559. 1934. [See also Philip. Agr. 25: 749-760. 1937.]

——— & N. E. Cuevas. Floral morphology of the mango (Mangifera indica L.) with special reference to the Pico variety from the Philippines. Philip. Agr. 21: 449–472. 1932.\*

Kennard, W. C. Development of the fruit, seed and embryo of the Paneri mango. Bot. Gaz. 117: 28-32. 1955.

Leroy, J. F. Sur un "complexe agamique" des manguiers et sur l'origine et la phylogénie des variétés cultivées. Revue Int. Bot. Appl. Agr. Trop. 27: 304-309. 1947.

Lutz, B. Estudos sobre a biologia floral de *Mangifera indica* L. (English summary.) Arch. Mus. Nac. Rio de Janeiro 26: 125-158. pls. 1-4. 1926.

Lynch, S. J., & R. O. Nelson. Current methods of vegetative propagation of avocado, mango, lychee and guava in Florida. Ceiba 4: 315-337. 1956. [Mangifera indica, 320-328.]

Mallik, P. C. Morphology and biology of the mango flower. Indian Jour. Hort. 14: 1-23. 1957.\*

Mukherjee, S. K. Comparative morphology of the species of *Mangifera* Linn. Bull. Bot. Soc. Bengal 2: 15–21. 1948.

——. The varieties of mango (Mangifera indica L.) and their classification. Ibid. 2: 101–133. 1948.

——. The taxonomic value of the anatomical structures of the inflorescence axes of *Mangifera* L. Jour. Indian Bot. Soc. 28: 162–171. 1949.

——. A monograph on the genus Mangifera L. Lloydia 12: 73–136. 1949.

——. Cytological investigation of the mango (Mangifera indica L.) and the allied Indian species. Proc. Natl. Inst. Sci. India 16: 287–303. 1950.\*

——. The mango: its allopolyploid nature. Nature 166: 196, 197. 1950.

———. Pollen analysis in *Mangifera* in relation to fruit-set and taxonomy. Jour. Indian Bot. Soc. 30: 49–55. 1951.

———. Origin, distribution and phylogenetic affinity of the species of *Mangi-* fera L. Jour. Linn. Soc. Bot. **55**: 65–83. 1953.

———. The mango: its botany, cultivation, uses and future improvement, especially as observed in India. Econ. Bot. 7: 130–162. 1953.

———. Cytology of some Malayan species of Mangifera. Cytologia 22: 239–241. 1957. [M. foetida and M. caesia, 2n = 40.]

Mustard, M. J., & S. J. Lynch. Flower-bud formation and development in *Mangifera indica*. Bot. Gaz. 108: 136-140. 1946.

RAO, P. L. N. The essential oil of the mango flower. Sci. Cult. 11: 70. 1946.\*

RAO, U. N., & S. MUTHUSWAMY. A note on flower formation from the axillary buds of the mango. S. Indian Hort. 3: 30, 31. 1955.

REECE, P. C., J. R. Furr, & W. C. Cooper. Further studies of floral induction in the Haden mango (*Manifera indica* L.). Am. Jour. Bot. 36: 734–740. 1949. [See also *ibid*. 33: 209, 210. 1946.]

Ruehle, G. D., & R. B. Ledin. Mango growing in Florida. Fla. Univ. Agr. Ext. Bull. 174. 88 pp., map. 1960.\*

SACHAR, R. C., & R. N. CHOPRA. A study of the endosperm and embryo in Mangifera L. Indian Jour. Agr. Sci. 27: 219–228. 1957.

Sharma, M. R. Studies in the family Anacardiaceae — I. Vascular anatomy of the flower of *Mangifera indica* L. Phytomorphology 4: 201–208. 1954.

Singh, L. B. The mango: botany, cultivation, and utilization. 438 pp. London & New York. 1960.\* [Bibliography, 395-420.]

——— & R. N. Singh. A monograph on the mangoes of Uttar Pradesh. Vol. 1. 144 pp., 80 pls.; vol. 2. 138 pp., 75 pls. Lucknow. 1956. [Descriptions of vars. accompanied by colored pls.]

SINGH, R. N. Sex ratio and fruit setting in mango (Mangifera indica L.).

Science 119: 389, 390. 1954.

Spencer, J. L., & W. C. Kennard. Limited stigmatic receptivity may contribute to low fruit set in the mango (Mangifera indica L.). Proc. Am. Soc. Hort. Sci. 67: 287-289. 1956.

Venkataratnam, L. Hormone induced set and parthenocarpy in mango (Mangifera indica L.). Curr. Sci. Bangalore 18: 409. 1949.\*

Webber, H. J. The economic importance of apogamy in Citrus and Mangifera. Proc. Am. Soc. Hort. Sci. 28: 57-61. 1931.

Young, T. W. Investigations of the unfruitfulness of the Haden mange in Florida. Proc. Fla. State Hort. Soc. 55: 106-110. 1943.\*

———. Influence of temperature on growth of mango pollen. *Ibid*. **68**: 308–313. 1955.\*

## Tribe RHOËAE Marchand, "Rhoideae"

# 2. Schinus Linnaeus, Sp. Pl. 1: 388. 1753; Gen. Pl. ed. 5. 184. 1754.

Trees or shrubs [rarely subshrubs, sometimes thorny]. Leaves odd-pinnate or rarely even-pinnate [or simple]; the leaflets membranaceous to subcoriaceous [or coriaceous], (3)5-13[-41], usually opposite [or alternate], relatively small to medium sized, entire or toothed, sessile or subsessile, the rachis often winged. Plants usually dioecious. Flowers usually unisexual, small, pediceled, in axillary [and terminal] thyrses [or in contracted raceme-like inflorescences]; bracts small, bractlets 2, minute, deciduous. Sepals 5 [4], persistent. Petals 5 [4], white [or yellow], considerably longer than the sepals. Stamens 10 [8], in 2 series, the antipetalous shorter than the antisepalous, inserted below and between the lobes of a saucer-shaped 10[8]-lobed intrastaminal nectariferous disc, reduced and sterile in 9 flowers; pollen 3-colpate, medium sized, ellipsoidal, finely reticulate. Gynoecium 3-carpellate, rudimentary in 3 flowers; stigmas 3 [1], capitellate; styles 3 [1], connate at least at base; ovary sessile, 1-locular by abortion; ovule solitary, 2-integumented, with a thick nucellus, suspended from near the top of the locule. Drupe small, pea-like, bright red [pink or lavender]; exocarp thin, chartaceous, shining; mesocarp resinous, the innermost layers (adherent to endocarp) with large cavities containing an aromatic oil; stone laterally compressed, cartilaginous to bony. Seed sublenticular; testa thin, membranaceous; endosperm fleshy, scanty; cotyledons flat; radicle elongated, incurved upwards. (Including Duvaua Kunth). Lectotype species: S. Molle L., 2n = 28, 30; see A. S. Hitchcock, in Int. Bot. Congr. 1930. Nomencl. Propos. Brit. Bot. 153. 1929. (An ancient Greek name for the mastic tree, Pistacia Lentiscus L., applied by Linnaeus to this genus.) — PEPPER-TREE.

A genus of about 28 species of warm-temperate and tropical South

America, from Chile, Argentina, and Uruguay, north to Venezuela and Colombia. Schinus terebinthifolius Raddi, Brazilian pepper-tree or Christmas-berry, with 5–13 oblong-ovate leaflets, and S. Molle, Peruvian pepper-tree, with 15–41 very narrow leaflets, are frequently cultivated in the warmer parts of the Eastern and Western hemispheres as ornamentals. Both are grown in Florida, and the former, a shrub, has become naturalized in southern Florida and the Keys where it seems to be spreading rapidly; the exact extent of naturalization needs to be determined. Schinus Molle has become naturalized in California and Mexico. The infructescences are often used as Christmas decorations. In Mexico and South America the fruits are used in various beverages, the seeds are sometimes used to adulterate pepper, and the resin, bark, and fruits are used locally in medicine.

The genus seems to be most closely related to the South American *Lithraea* Miers and the East Australian *Rhodosphaera* Engl. The group is entomophilous, and honeybees have been reported as frequent visitors of flowers of *Schinus Molle*. No hybrids have been recorded.

#### REFERENCES:

Under family references see ENGLER (1883, pp. 331-345 & 1892, pp. 162-164), Heimsch (1942, pp. 139-141), and Record (pp. 38-40).

Barkley, F. A. Schinus L. Brittonia 5: 160–198. 1944. [Monograph.] ——. A study of Schinus L. Lilloa 28: 5–110. 1957. [Monograph.]

COPELAND, H. F. The reproductive structures of *Schinus Molle* (Anacardiaceae). Madroño 15: 14-25. 1959. [Floral morphology and embryology; 2n = 30.]

DICKSON, J. D., III, R. O. WOODBURY, & T. R. ALEXANDER. Check list of flora of Big Pine Key, Florida and surrounding keys. Quart. Jour. Fla. Acad. Sci. 16: 181–197. 1953. [S. terebinthifolius, an escape on Big Pine Key, 192.]

RAINERI, L. Lo sviluppo dei fiori femminili di Schinus Molle L. (English summary.) Nuovo Gior. Bot. Ital. II. 59: 49-63. 1952. [Development of the Pflowers.]

——. Lo sviluppo dei fiori maschili di *Schinus Molle* L. (English summary.) *Ibid.* **61**: 409–413. 1954. [Development of the & flowers.]

## 3. Cotinus Miller, Gard. Dict. Abr. ed. 4. 1754.

Small trees or shrubs with orange-yellow wood and strong-smelling, resinous sap. Leaves simple, entire, medium sized [or small], membranaceous, deciduous, the petioles short to long. Plants usually dioecious [occasionally polygamo-dioecious or -monoecious]. Flowers small, usually unisexual [rarely also bisexual], many abortive, in loose terminal thyrses, the slender pedicels much elongated after flowering, those of abortive flowers becoming plumose-villous; bracts narrow,  $\pm$  scarious, in part persistent. Sepals 5, persistent. Petals 5, yellowish- or greenish-white [rarely crimson], twice as long as the sepals. Stamens 5, distinct, inserted below an annular, shallowly 5-lobed intrastaminal disc, alternate with and shorter than the petals, reduced and sterile in  $\varphi$  flowers; filaments

subulate; anthers broadly ovate in outline, somewhat shorter than the filaments, 2-locular at anthesis; pollen 3-colpate, medium sized, subspherical, striate-reticulate, with round to slightly oblong, smooth germ pores. Gynoecium 3-carpellate, rudimentary in 3 flowers; stigmas 3, small, subcapitate; styles 3, appearing sublateral, unequal, that of the fertile carpel conspicuously longer than the 2 sterile ones; ovary 1-locular by abortion, obliquely obovoid, sessile; ovule raised on a basal funicle. Drupes small (4-5 mm. long), obliquely obovate to subreniform, usually much compressed, conspicuously reticulate veined, the style-remnants lateral; exocarp membranaceous; mesocarp very scanty; stone (endocarp) bony, subreniform, 1-seeded. Seed reniform; testa thin, membranaceous; endosperm wanting (?); embryo with flat, elliptic cotyledons and an elongate radicle incurved upwards (toward the hilum). Type species: Rhus Cotinus L. = Cotinus Coggygria Scop. (Derived from Greek, kotinos, an ancient name for wild olive, used by Pliny for an unidentified shrub of the Apennines, but applied by some pre-Linnaean botanists, e.g., Tournefort, to C. Coggygria.) — SMOKE-TREE.

A genus of three or more species, primarily of warm-temperate Eurasia (southern France to the eastern subtropical Himalaya and central China) and eastern North America. Cotinus obovatus Raf. (C. americanus Nutt., Rhus americanus (Nutt.) Sudworth), American smoke-tree, a tree, sometimes a low shrub, with obovate-cuneate leaves turning orange to crimson in autumn, occurs sparingly on wooded, rocky cliffs and river bluffs, usually on limestone, in disjunct populations in the mountains of northern Alabama and adjacent Tennessee (Franklin County); in Daviess County, Kentucky (introduced?); in southwestern Missouri, northwestern Arkansas, and eastern Oklahoma; and on the Edwards Plateau, Texas. "Its rarity, discontinuous distribution, lack of related species in the New World, and occurrence as a pioneer on rocky cliffs all suggest that [it] is an old species formerly of general distribution but now approaching extinction" (Little, p. 23). Trees to about 10 m. tall have been recorded, but Sargent (1892) wrote that "during the War of Secession nearly all the large specimens were cut down for the dye which the wood yields . . ."

Most observations on the genus have been made on the Eurasian Cotinus Coggygria, Venetian sumac or smoke-tree,<sup>2</sup> in which polygamo-dioecism and monoecism, as well as flowers with 3-locular ovaries, have been observed occasionally. Short-tongued Hymenoptera and Diptera apparently are the principal pollinators. Parthenocarpy seems to be of common occurrence, since many fruits fail to develop seeds. Although birds have

<sup>2</sup> Since the above was written, chromosome numbers of three taxa of *Cotinus* have been determined by Dr. Otto T. Solbrig, of the Gray Herbarium, from staminate material collected by Dr. C. E. Wood from the living collections of the Arnold Arboretum: *C. obovatus* (Arnold Arb. No. 1814-B, from seed, Charles Mohr, Mobile, Alabama, July 5, 1882; *Wood 9427* [AAH]),  $2n = 15^{11}$ ; *C. Coggygria* (Arnold Arb. No. 276, from Harvard Bot. Gard., 1876; *Wood 9428* [AAH]),  $2n = 15^{11}$ ; and *C. Coggygria* 'Atropurpureus' (Arnold Arb. No. 708-49-A, from seed, New York Bot. Gard., 1948; *Wood 9429* [AAH]),  $2n = 15^{11}$ .

been mentioned, wind is assumed to be the principal means of seed dispersal by transport of the whole infructescence. Asexual reproduction

takes place by root- and stump-sprouts.

In spite of many similarities with *Rhus*, from which *Cotinus* differs mainly in the asymmetrical ovaries and fruits, unequal styles appearing sublateral in flower and lateral in fruit, very scanty mesocarp, and peculiar infructescences, the two genera do not seem to be very closely related. According to Engler, *Cotinus* represents a branch of a line of evolution different from but with a common origin with that of *Rhus*. Some relationship to the South African genera *Laurophyllus* Thunb., *Smodingium* E. Mey., and *Loxostylis* Spreng. f. ex Reichenb. (*Botryceras* Willd.) seems possible.

The leaves and bark of *Cotinus Coggygria* are important sources of tannin and have been employed extensively in the tanning industry in southern Europe. Leaves and flowers yield an aromatic oil. The orange-yellow dye (fustic, "young fustic") extracted from the heartwood of *C. Coggygria* and *C. obovatus* was formerly used extensively for dyeing silk, wool, etc. Both species are ornamental.

#### REFERENCES:

See also under family references Barkley (1937, pp. 300–303), Engler (1881, p. 378; 1883, pp. 349–352; 1892, pp. 164, 165), Heimsch (1940; 1942, pp. 139–141), Record (p. 23), and Sargent (pp. 657, 658).

Buckley, S. B. Rhus cotinoides, Nutt. Proc. Acad. Nat. Sci. Phila. 1881:

125. 1881. [Observations in Alabama.]

Horhammer, L., H. Wagner, J. Izquierdo, & H. Endres. Isolierung eines Gallussäuremethylesters aus *Cotinus Coggygria* Scop. (*Rhus Cotinus* L.) durch Chromatographie an Polyamid. Arch. Pharm. 291: 269–273. 1958.

KING, H. G. C., & T. WHITE. The colouring matter of Rhus Cotinus wood (young fustic). Chem. Soc. Jour. 1961: 3538, 3539. 1962.\*

LITTLE, E. L., Jr. American smoketree (Cotinus obovatus Raf.), one of Oklahoma's rarest tree species. Proc. Okla. Acad. Sci. 23: 21–23. 1943. [C. obovatus Raf. correct name for the sp.; distribution and a new locality in Oklahoma.]

Meehan, T. Sex in *Rhus Cotinus*. Proc. Acad. Nat. Sci. Phila. **1873**: 300. 1873.

———. On hermaphroditism in *Rhus Cotinus* (the Mist Tree) and in *Rhus glabra* (Common Sumac). Proc. AAAS **22**(B): 73–75. 1874.

Osmola, N. Kh. The course of accumulation of tannides in the leaves of Cotinus Coggygria Scop. (In Russian.) Bot. Zhur. 43: 581–583. 1958. [Includes notes on economic importance.]

Pénzes, A. Data to the ecology and taxonomy of the *Cotinus* genus. Acta Bot. Sinica 7: 167–169. 1958.\*

SARGENT, C. S. Cotinus. Silva N. Am. 3: 1-6. pls. 98, 99. 1892.

Schulz, A. Beiträge zur Morphologie und Biologie der Blüthen. II. Ber. Deutsch. Bot. Ges. 10: 395–409. 1892. [C. Coggygria, 395–401.]

## 4. Metopium P. Browne, Civ. Nat. Hist. Jamaica 177. 1756.

Trees or shrubs with resin ducts in bark and wood. Leaves odd-pinnate, (1)3-7-foliolate, stoutly petioled, usually clustered near the tips

of branches, persistent; leaflets long-petioluled, ± leathery, entire, lustrous, veins and veinlets prominent. Plants usually dioecious. Flowers small, usually unisexual; pedicels short, stout, and articulate near base, in loose, ascending, determinate axillary panicles; bracts and bractlets minute, in part persistent. Sepals 5, connate into a ± cupuliform 5-lobed calyx; lobes broadly ovate to almost semicircular [or truncate], ± unequal, thin-leathery with scarious margins, persistent. Petals 5, twice as long as sepals, yellow-green, with brownish to blackish veins. Stamens 5, distinct, alternate with and shorter than the petals, inserted at base and between the glandlike lobes of an intrastaminal nectariferous disc, reduced and sterile in 9 flowers; anthers oblong in outline, nearly as long as [or longer than] the subulate filaments, 2-locular at anthesis; pollen 3-colpate, medium sized, ellipsoidal, reticulate-striate, with round, smooth germ pores. Gynoecium 3-carpellate, rudimentary in 3 flowers. Stigma 3-lobed; style very short, stout; ovary 1-locular by abortion, obovate to subglobular; ovule raised on a basal funicle. Drupe ellipsoidal to somewhat obovoid, about 1-1.5 cm. long, glabrous, shining, orange to scarlet when ripe, tipped with the remnant of the style; exocarp membranaceous, mesocarp resinous, endocarp thin, crustaceous, all permanently united (coherent). Seed compressed, almost quadrangular in outline, the broad funicle covering one of its margins; testa thin, smooth, dark brown; endosperm scanty or wanting; embryo oriented vertically, the cotyledons accumbent, flat, the radicle long, incurved upwards toward the hilum. Type species: Terebinthus Brownei Jacq. = M. Brownei (Jacq.) Urban. (An ancient name for some oriental plant [perhaps some species of Ferula], yielding the gum resin galbanum, applied by Browne to his genus; etymology obscure.)

A genus of three species, of the West Indies, southern Florida, British Honduras, Guatemala, and southern Mexico. The West Indian *Metopium toxiferum* (L.) Krug & Urban, poisonwood or coral sumac (also hog gum, doctor gum), occurs in hammocks, pinelands, and coastal sand dunes in southern Florida (about as far north as Martin County) and on the Florida Keys. A large tree in hammocks, a shrub in pinelands, in its appearance the species resembles *Bursera Simaruba*, with which it is often confused.

The genus is very closely related to *Rhus*, but the combination of the single style and stigma, the thin, crustaceous endocarp, the vertical embryo, and anatomical characters (diffuse-porous wood; abundant, often banded, vasicentric parenchyma; septate wood fibers) seems to support the maintenance of *Metopium* as distinct.

All species are poisonous, and all parts of the plants act as a contact skin-poison. Leaves and resin were formerly used in local medicine in the West Indies. The floral biology probably does not differ much from that of *Rhus*. Birds apparently are responsible for seed dispersal.

#### REFERENCES:

See also under family references Barkley (1937, pp. 303-309), Engler (1881, p. 378; 1883, pp. 367-369; 1892, p. 167), Heimsch (1940; 1942, pp. 139-141), Record (pp. 28, 29), Sargent (pp. 658, 659), and West & Arnold (p. 112).

HARRAR, E. S., & J. G. HARRAR. Guide to southern trees. 712 pp. New York.

1946. [M. toxiferum, 435-437.]

SARGENT, C. S. Rhus Metopium. Silva N. Am. 3: 13, 14. pls. 100, 101. 1892. [M. toxiferum; not Rhus Metopium = M. Brownei.]

## 5. Rhus Linnaeus, Sp. Pl. 1: 265. 1753; Gen. Pl. ed. 5. 129. 1754.

Trees, shrubs [sometimes thorny], subshrubs, or vines climbing by aërial, clinging, adventitious roots, often pubescent with glandular and/or nonglandular hairs, some poisonous by contact. Leaves 3-foliolate [rarely palmately 5-foliolate] or odd-pinnate (occasionally even-pinnate) [or simple], petioled, deciduous [or persistent]; leaflets usually opposite, entire or toothed, sometimes lobed, sessile or ± distinctly petioluled, rachis sometimes winged. Plants usually dioecious. Flowers small [to minute], usually unisexual by abortion, pediceled [or sessile], in terminal [and]/or axillary thyrses [or panicles], or in solitary or panicled racemes [or spikes]; bracts deciduous or persistent, bractlets 2, at base of pedicel, deciduous or persistent, or wanting. Sepals 5, connate at base or higher], usually persistent. Petals 5, longer than the sepals, greenishwhite to yellow [or rarely pink], inserted at base of disc, imbricate. Stamens 5, alternipetalous, inserted below a 5-10-lobed, annular, patellior cupuliform intrastaminal nectariferous disc, reduced and sterile in a flowers; anthers ovate to oblong in outline, usually shorter than the ± subulate filaments; pollen 3-colpate, medium sized [or small], ellipsoidal to spherical, reticulate, reticulate-striate or striate, more rarely smooth, with oblong, mostly irregular to ragged, germ pores. Gynoecium 3-carpellate, rudimentary in 3 flowers; stigmas 3, capitellate, sometimes slightly depressed; styles 3, terminal, distinct or partly [rarely \pm completely] connate; ovary 1-locular; ovule raised on an elongate ascendent basal funicle. Drupe subglobular to globular, 3-8 mm. [rarely over 1 cm. in diameter, often somewhat laterally compressed, white, tan to brown, or red [or black], smooth or sometimes striate [rarely verruculose], glabrous or pubescent with glandular and/or nonglandular hairs; exocarp membranaceous, sometimes fragile and bursting irregularly; mesocarp thin or thick, ± resinous, sometimes also waxy; stone ± laterally compressed, smooth or ridged, sometimes bumpy, with thick, bony endocarp. Seed ± laterally compressed, ovate to subreniform in outline; testa membranaceous; endosperm scanty or wanting; embryo large, generally transverse, with flat transverse cotyledons and a ± elongate radicle incurved upwards toward the hilum. (Including Lobadium Raf. [Schmaltzia Desv. ex Small emend. Greene], Toxicodendron Mill.). Lectotype SPECIES: R. Coriaria L.; see E. L. Greene, Leafl. Bot. Obs. Crit. 1: 114. 1905. (Name Latin, from Greek, rhous or rhoys, an ancient name for the Sicilian sumac, R. Coriaria; etymology obscure.)

A genus of over 150 species, primarily of the warm-temperate areas of both hemispheres, but extending into tropical and cold-temperate regions. The genus seems to be somewhat heterogeneous, and its taxonomy is difficult and confused. Both the four sections of Engler, based mainly on fruit morphology, and some fractions of the sections have been treated by Barkley as distinct genera. Although thorough investigations may perhaps justify at least some of these segregations, a complete study of the entire complex is necessary. The relationships appear to be reticulate, with species showing transitions in respect to most characteristics used for delimiting genera, and many supposed differences are based on incomplete observations or on insufficient material. At present it appears preferable to regard *Lobadium* Raf. and *Toxicodendron* Mill. as subgenera of *Rhus*.

Subgenus Rhus (subg. Sumac Torr. & Gray, 1838; § Trichocarpae Engler, 1881, in part), includes about ten species (primarily of warmtemperate North America and Eurasia) with flowers in terminal thyrses expanding after the leaves; thin, narrow, caducous bracts and bractlets; reddish drupes covered with  $\pm$  club-shaped hairs and sometimes also with slender red to colorless nonglandular hairs; exocarp adherent to the resinous mesocarp and both easily detachable from the smooth, bony stone; and odd-pinnate leaves. All (at least in our area) are innocuous trees or shrubs. The northeastern American Rhus typhina L. (R. hirta (L.) Sudw.); the wide-ranging R. glabra L.; the very local R. Michauxii Sarg., of the Piedmont of North Carolina and Georgia; and the widely distributed R. copallina L. (including R. leucantha Jacq. and R. obtusifolia Small) represent the subgenus with us. Presumed natural hybrids of R. glabra  $\times$  typhina have been known as R. glabra var. borealis Britt. (R. borealis (Britt.) Greene, R. pulvinata Greene), while R. Ashei (Small) Greene, recorded from the Piedmont of North Carolina, appears to be R. glabra  $\times$  Michauxii. A careful study of variation in Rhus copallina (including vars. copallina, latifolia Engler, leucantha (Jacq.) DC., obtusifolia (Small) Fern. & Grisc., and lanceolata Gray [the last supposedly beyond our range is much needed.

Subgenus Lobadium (Raf.) Torr. & Gray, 1838 (Lobadium Raf., 1819; Schmaltzia Desv. ex Small emend. Greene, 1905; Rhus § Trichocarpae Engler, 1881, in part; including Styphonia Nutt. and Rhoeidium Greene) includes species with flowers in short, dense, spikelike racemes usually forming terminal panicles expanding before the leaves (more rarely in axillary and/or terminal panicles expanding after the leaves); leathery, broad, persistent (rarely caducous) bracts and bractlets; drupes essentially as in subg. Rhus (but in some with the inner layers of mesocarp, striate by numerous resin ducts, remaining attached to the stone); and leaves 3-foliolate (in ours). The nearly 35 species, all innocuous shrubs [or trees], are centered in Mexico, extending north to Alberta and Quebec

and south to Costa Rica. The northeastern *Rhus aromatica* Ait. var. aromatica (Schmaltzia crenata (Mill.) Greene), fragrant sumac, with precocious flowers, is of frequent occurrence on calcareous soils through our area southward to northwestern Florida and westward and northward beyond our limits. The var. serotina (Greene) Rehd. (R. trilobata Nutt. var. serotina (Greene) Barkley), with coetaneous flowers, occurring north and west of our region has been recorded from Arkansas. Hybridization between R. aromatica and the largely western American R. trilobata, as well as between some other species of the subgenus in areas of overlap, is believed possible (Barkley, 1937).

Subgenus Toxicodendron (Mill.) K. Koch, 1853, emend. Gray, 1856 (§ Venenatae Engler; § Trichocarpae Engler, as to R. trichocarpa Miq.; Toxicodendron Mill., 1754), comprises species with flowers in axillary thyrses expanding after the leaves; caducous bracts; no bractlets; vellow-white to -brown, usually smooth drupes, glabrous or pubescent with nonglandular hairs; usually thin, fragile exocarp which finally breaks, exposing the whitish, waxy mesocarp striate with black resin ducts; stones bony with a few riblike longitudinal ridges (or more rarely smooth); and leaves 3-foliolate or odd-pinnate [rarely simple]. The nearly 15 species, including trees, shrubs, or woody vines climbing by aërial roots and all producing a contact dermatitis, are largely of temperate North Americaneastern Asiatic distribution, but with at least R. striata Ruiz & Pavon in tropical South America (Colombia, Venezuela, Peru). Our representatives are R. Vernix L. (Toxicodendron Vernix (L.) Kuntze), poison sumac, a shrub or small tree with odd-pinnate leaves, chiefly of the Coastal Plain; R. radicans L. (T. radicans (L.) Kuntze), poison ivy, 2n = 30; and R. Toxicodendron L. (T. Toxicodendron (L.) Britt., T. quercifolium (Michx.) Greene), poison oak. Rhus radicans is both widely distributed and variable, and a number of varieties and forms have been recognized. According to Gillis (1962, p. 21), the eastern Asiatic R. orientalis (Greene) Schneider is conspecific with R. radicans. The external and internal morphology of R. diversiloba Torr. & Gray, western poison oak, 2n = 30, is better known than that of other species.

The genus seems to be rather uniform in regard to floral morphology and biology. Flowers are usually unisexual and the species dioecious, but the occasional occurrence of bisexual flowers, and consequent polygamy, is probable. Various Hymenoptera (especially short-tongued bees) and Diptera have been recorded as the most frequent visitors. Chalazogamy has been found in all the species thus far investigated. The chromosome number 2n = 30 has been recorded for three or four species of subg. Toxicodendron, 2n = 32 for the North African *Rhus oxyacantha* Schousb. ex Cav. (Searsia Barkley; § Gerontogeae Engler). Seed dispersal by animals, especially by birds, apparently may be assumed for the genus as a whole.

Dried leaves of Rhus glabra, R. typhina, and R. copallina, and especially of R. Coriaria, are important sources of tannin. Leaf galls produced on R. javanica L. (R. chinensis Mill., R. semialata Murr.) yield tannin

which is also sometimes used in making ink. The Asiatic R. verniciflua Stokes and sometimes R. succedanea L, are sources of natural lacquer. Commercial vegetable wax is obtained from the mesocarp of fruits of the last and some allied species.

### REFERENCES:

The large number of references has been reduced here primarily to those either of general interest or dealing specifically with the southeastern United States. Under family reference see Barkley (1937, pp. 312-441), Engler (1881; 1883, pp. 371-452; 1892, pp. 167-172), Heimsch (1940; 1942, pp. 139-141), Record (pp. 32-34, 43-45), Sargent (pp. 660-665), and West & Arnold (pp. 113, 114). Under *Cotinus* see Meehan (1874).

- Ashe, W. W. Magnolia cordata and other woody plants. Bull. Torrey Bot. Club 54: 579-582. 1927. ["X Schmaltzia Ashei hyb. nov." (= Rhus Ashei), 581.]
- BAER, J. E., & A. F. SIEVERS. Sumac; its collection and culture as a source of tannin. U. S. Dep. Agr. Prod. Res. Rep. 8. 14 pp. 1957.\*
- BARKLEY, F. A. Five-leaved poison ivy. Biologist 17: 122-124. 1936.\*
- ——. Studies in the Anacardiaceae. III. A note concerning the status of Rhus pulvinata Greene (R. glabra  $\times$  typhina Koehne). Am. Midl. Nat. 19: 598–600. 1938.
- ——. Schmaltzia. Ibid. 24: 647-665. 1940.
- —— & E. D. Barkley. A short history of Rhus to the time of Linnaeus. Ibid. 19: 265-333. 1938.
- BOYD, I. L. Germination tests on four species of sumac. Trans. Kan. Acad. Sci. 46: 85, 86. 1943.
- Clausen, R. T. Northeastern limits of the known range of Rhus Toxico-dendron. Torreya 41: 58, 59. 1941. [R. radicans.]
- COPELAND, H. F., & B. E. DOYEL. Some features of the structure of Toxicodendron diversiloba. Am. Jour. Bot. 27: 932-939. 1941. [2n = 30.]
- CROOKS, D. M., & L. W. KEPHART. Poison-ivy, poison-oak, and poison sumac: identification, precautions, eradication. U. S. Dep. Agr. Farmers' Bull. 1972: 1-30. 1958.
- Dawson, C. R. The toxic principle of poison ivy and related plants. Rec. Chem. Prog. 15: 39-53. 1954.\*
- The chemistry of poison ivy. Trans. N. Y. Acad. Sci. II. 18: 427–443. 1956.\*
- Diels, L. Die Epharmose der Vegetationsorgane bei Rhus § Gerontogeae Engl. Bot. Jahrb. 24: 568-647. pl. 14. 1898.
- Duncan, W. H., & T. J. Jones. Poisonous plants of Georgia. Bull. Univ. Ga. School Veterin. Med. 49(13): i-iv, 1-46. 1949. [R. Vernix, 17-20; R. radicans, 20.]
- FERNALD, M. L. Another century of additions to the flora of Virginia. Rhodora 43: 559-630. pls. 672-692. 1941. ["Some varieties and forms of Rhus radicans and R. Toxicodendron," 589-599, pls. 683-685; "The variations of Rhus aromatica in the Gray's Manual range," 599-603, pls. 686, 687.]
- ——— & L. Griscom. Three days of botanizing in southeastern Virginia. *Ibid.* 37: 167–189. pls. 345–351. 1935. [Variations of R. copallina, 167, 168.]
- Franklin, J. J. Another plant to be labeled poisonous. Pl. Gard. 8: 271. 1952. [R. trichocarpa.]

- GILBERT, E. F. Phenology of sumacs. Am. Midl. Nat. 66: 286-300. 1961.\* [R. glabra and R. typhina. See also Diss. Abs. 20: 1553, 1554. 1959.]
- GILLIS, W. T. Taxonomic problems in poison ivy. Pap. Mich. Acad. Sci. I. 45: 27-34. 1960.
- ———. Poison-ivy and the poison-oaks. Cranbrook Inst. Sci. News Lett. 30: 98–107. 1961.
- ——. Poison-ivy in northern Michigan. Mich. Bot. 1: 17-22. 1962. [R. (Toxicodendron) radicans var. Rydbergii, delimitation, range in Michigan.]
- Greene, E. Segregates of the genus Rhus. Leafl. Bot. Obs. Crit. 1: 114-144. 1905.
- ——. A study of *Rhus glabra*. Proc. Wash. Acad. Sci. 8: 167–196. 1906. [Includes descriptions of 28 segregates, 24 proposed as new spp.]
- GRIMM, J. Entwicklungsgeschichtliche Untersuchungen an *Rhus* und *Coriaria*. Flora 104: 309-334. pls. 10, 11. 1912. [R. "Toxicodendron" (R. radicans?), 2n = 30, embryology, 311-323; R. glabra and R. typhina, chalazogamy, parthenocarpy, 323-325.]
- HARADA, M. On the distribution and construction of the resin canal[s] in Rhus succedanea. Bot. Mag. Tokyo 51: 846-856. 1937.
- ———. Investigation on the development of resin canals and the formation of latex in the mesocarp of fruits of the *Rhus* plants found in Japan. Bull. Sci. Fak. Terkult. Kyushu Univ. 8: 179–191. 1939.\*
- Kelkar, S. S. Embryology of Rhus mysurensis Heyne. Jour. Indian Bot. Soc. 37: 114–122. 1958.
- LITTLE, E. L., Jr. Miscellaneous notes on nomenclature of United States trees. Am. Midl. Nat. 33: 495-513. 1945. [Rhus, 498-500.]
- McFadden, G. H., & R. L. McMurry. Rhus glabra. Am. Jour. Pharm. 109: 397-406. 1937.\*
- McNair, J. B. Fats from Rhus [Malosma] laurina and Rhus [Toxicodendron] diversiloba. Bot. Gaz. 64: 330-336. 1917. [Fats identical; includes fruit morphology of R. diversiloba.]
- ———. Secretory canals of Rhus diversiloba. Ibid. 8: 179–191. pls. 3, 4. 1921.
- ——. The taxonomy of poison-ivy, with a note on the origin of the generic name. Publ. Field Mus. Bot. 4: 55-70. pls. 14-24. 1925.
- ——. Five-leastlet poison oak. Bull. Torrey Bot. Club 63: 473-476. 1936. [R. diversiloba, pinnate-leaved form.]
- MEYER, A. Ueber die Entwickelung des Wachses der Frucht von Rhus Toxicodendron Mich. Arch. Pharm. III. 15: 514-516. 1879.\*
- Möbius, M. Der japanische Lackbaum, Rhus vernicifera DC. Abh. Senckenberg. Naturf. Ges. 20: 203–247. pl. 1. 1899. [Morphology and anatomy of R. verniciflua. See also Ber. Deutsch. Bot. Ges. 15: 435–441. 1897.]
- Morgenever, W. Untersuchungen über die Beseitigung der Keimhemmung beim Samen des Hirschkolbensumachs (*Rhus typhina*). Arch. Forst. 5: 203–242. 1956.\*
- Muenscher, W. C., & J. M. Kingsbury. Poison ivy and poison sumac. Cornell Ext. Bull. 191: 1–12. 1960.
- ROBERTSON, C. Flowers and insects. 17. Bot. Gaz. 22: 154-165. 1896. [Rhus, 159-164.]
- ———. Flowers and insects. 221 pp. Carlinville, Illinois. 1928. [R. aromatica ("R. canadensis") R. copallina, R. glabra, R. radicans ("R. Toxicodendron"), list of insect visitors, 24–26.]
- SARGENT, C. S. Rhus. Silva N. Am. 3: 7-11, 15-28. pls. 102-109. 1892.

- ——. Rhus Michauxii. Garden Forest 8: 404. 1895. [Considered to be very poisonous, but see Warren.]
- ——. Rhus trichocarpa. Ibid. 10: 383, 384. 1897.
- Schneider, C. K. Illustriertes Handbuch der Laubholzkunde. Vol. 2. 1070 pp. Jena. 1907–1912.
- Schonland, S. The South African species of Rhus L. Bothalia 3: 1-115. 1930. [Revision.]
- Sizer, I. W., & C. E. Prokesch. Inactivation of the irritant toxicants of poison ivy and related compounds by tyrosinase. Science 101: 517, 518. 1945.
- Тавата, S. Ueber die Früchte und Keimpflanzen von Rhus succedanea, L. Jour. Coll. Sci. Univ. Tokyo 23(1): 1-11. 1 pl. 1907.
- WARAWDEKAR, S. S. Analysis of poison ivy fruit fat. Diss. Abs. 17: 2164. 1957.\* WARREN, L. E. Rhus Michauxii. A nonpoisonous plant. Am. Jour. Pharm. 1910: 499-506. 1910.\*